

State of Minnesota
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Utility Information Request

Docket Number: E002,ET2/CN-06-1115

Date of Request: November 13, 2007

Requested From: James Alders
Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

Response Due: November 28, 2007

Analyst Requesting Information: Steve Rakow

Type of Inquiry: Financial Rate of Return Rate Design
 Engineering Forecasting Conservation
 Cost of Service CIP Other:

If you feel your responses are trade secret or privileged, please indicate this on your response.

| Request No. | |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 37 | <p>The <i>Community Based Energy Development Transmission Study: West Central (Minnesota) Transmission Planning Zone</i> dated January 18, 2007 states at page 20:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">The CapX utilities have proposed performing a transmission study that would create a fourth generation scenario for the CapX Vision Study. This generation scenario would be CBED focused with CBED generation being dispersed throughout Minnesota. This study would allow for the comparison of how CBED generation would impact CapX transmission facilities versus other generation scenarios.</p> <p>Regarding this statement, has the “fourth generation scenario for the CapX Vision Study” been completed? If so, please provide the results of this scenario.</p> |

Response by: _____

List sources of information:

Title: _____

Department: _____

Telephone: _____

State of Minnesota
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Utility Information Request

Docket Number: E002,ET2/CN-06-1115

Date of Request: November 13, 2007

Requested From: James Alders
Northern States Power Company d/b/a Xcel Energy

Response Due: November 28, 2007

Analyst Requesting Information: Steve Rakow

Type of Inquiry: Financial Rate of Return Rate Design
 Engineering Forecasting Conservation
 Cost of Service CIP Other:

If you feel your responses are trade secret or privileged, please indicate this on your response.

| Request No. | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 38 | <p>Regarding the CapX southeast line, would using the Prairie Island end point, considering the likely routing option(s), result in the Prairie Island-North Rochester line and the Prairie Island-Byron line being considered as a single line for purposes of determining N-1 scenarios?</p> <p>If yes, please explain the consequences of the two lines being treated as one in terms of the proposed needs.</p> |

Response by: _____

List sources of information:

Title: _____

Department: _____

Telephone: _____
